

U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Board of Immigration Appeals
Office of the Clerk

5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

Lamb, Robert William Hatch Law Office P.O. Box 1847 Durham, NC 27702 DHS/ICE Office of Chief Counsel - CHL 5701 Executive Ctr Dr., Ste 300 Charlotte, NC 28212

Name: Eggs - Management, Eggs Date _ A 295

Date of this notice: 7/10/2017

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision and order in the above-referenced case.

Sincerely,

Cynthia L. Crosby Deputy Chief Clerk

enthis L Croshy

Enclosure

Panel Members: Kelly, Edward F.

Userteam: Docket

For more unpublished BIA decisions, visit www.irac.net/unpublished/index/



U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: A 295 - Charlotte, NC

Date:

JUL 1 0 2017

In re: Emy Emy -Manne a.k.a. Emy Emy -M

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEAL

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Robert Lamb, Esquire

ON BEHALF OF DHS:

Scott D. Criss

Assistant Chief Counsel

APPLICATION: Continuance

The respondent, a six-year-old native and citizen of El Salvador, appeals the decision of the Immigration Judge dated April 12, 2016, ordering her removal. On appeal, the respondent asserts that the Immigration Judge erred in denying her motion to continue, as she is prima facie eligible for U nonimmigrant status. We review Immigration Judges' findings of fact for clear error, but questions of law, discretion, and judgment, and all other issues in appeals, de novo. 8 C.F.R. §§ 1003.1(d)(3)(i), (ii). The record of proceedings will be remanded to the Immigration Judge.

The Immigration Judge did not prepare a separate decision in this matter addressing the respondent's request for a continuance pending adjudication of a petition for U nonimmigrant status. A party seeking a continuance has the burden of demonstrating good cause for the delay. See 8 C.F.R. §§ 1003.29 and 1240.6; Matter of Sibrun, 18 I&N Dec. 354 (BIA 1983). The respondent provided evidence that her mother was a victim of a qualifying crime, had obtained a signed law enforcement certification (Form I-918 Supplement B), and had submitted a petition for U nonimmigrant status to United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), on which the respondent is listed as a derivative beneficiary. The regulations provide for termination of removal proceedings once an alien's U-visa is granted. See 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(f)(6) (providing for cancellation of a removal order and termination of removal proceedings after the grant of U visa status).

In light of the foregoing, we will remand proceedings for consideration of whether the respondent has established good cause for a continuance. See Matter of Sanchez-Sosa, 25 I&N Dec. 807, 815 (BIA 2012) (providing that an alien who has filed a prima facie approvable petition for a U-visa with the USCIS will ordinarily warrant a favorable exercise of discretion for a continuance for a reasonable period of time).

Accordingly, the following order is entered.

ORDER: The record is remanded to the Immigration Court for further proceedings consistent with the foregoing opinion.

IMMIGRATION COURT 5701 EXECUTIVE CENTER DR. #400 CHARLOTTE, NC 28212

In the Matter of

		Case No.:	295
- Respondent	, Б	IN REMOVAI	PROCEEDINGS

	ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE
	is a summary of the oral decision entered on
This	is a summary of the oral decision entered on \mathcal{L}
This r	memorandum is solely for the convenience of the parties. If the
proce	ediags should be appealed or reopened, the oral decision will become
	fficial opinion in the case. The respondent was ordered removed from the United States to or in the alternative to .
	The respondent was ordered removed from the United States to
. ,	or in the alternative to .
[]	
	respondent was ordered removed to or in the
	alternative to .
[]	
()	upon posting a bond in the amount of \$
	with an alternate order of removal to .
Doone	
	ndent's application for:
[]	Asylum was ()granted ()denied()withdrawn.
[]	
	A Waiver under Section was ()granted ()denied ()withdrawn;
[]	Cancellation of removal under section 240A(a) was ()granted ()denied
_	()withdrawn.
-	ndent's application for:
[]	
	() withdrawn. If granted, it is ordered that the respondent be issued
	all appropriate documents necessary to give effect to this order.
[]	
	() withdrawn. If granted it is ordered that the respondent be issued
	all appropriated documents necessary to give effect to this order.
[]	
	()withdrawn. If granted it is ordered that the respondent be issued
	all appropriated documents necessary to give effect to this order.
[]	Respondent's application of () withholding of removal () deferral of
	removal under Article III of the Convention Against Torture was
	() granted () denied () withdrawn.
[]	Respondent's status was rescinded under section 246.
[]	Respondent is admitted to the United States as a until
[]	As a condition of admission, respondent is to post a \$ bond.
[]	Respondent knowingly filed a frivolous asylum application after proper
	notice.
[]	Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretionary relief for
•	failure to appear as ordered in the Immigration Judge's oral decision.
[.]	Proceedings were terminated.
ii	Other:
	Date: Apr 12, 2016
	BARRY J. PETTINATO
	Immigration Judge
	Appeal: Waived/Reserved Appeal Due By:
	$R_{ij} V \Delta \Omega = \Gamma \times 1$
	BX KEY2 5.12.16

.* ...